09-50026-mg Doc 13570 Filed 12/17/15 Entered 12/17/15 14:07:20 Main Document Pg 1 of 19

Endorsed Order:

Mr. Dunsmore's motion, dated December 11, 2015, is denied for failure to articulate a *prima facie* basis for the requested relief.

Dated: New York, New York s/Robert E. Gerber

December 16, 2015 United States Bankruptcy Judge

Box 32200 Stockton en 95213 5 6 7 UNITED STATES BANKROPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Case # 09-50026(26) 12 RS 10 General Motors 11 164 MIDN SWITCH Citigation 12 Dary Dusnae Plantelt 14 15 General Motors et al 16 Defendants 18 19 ce, Contempt 20 21 Darry Donsmore state and Declare 22 a plaintill instead in to above 24 + . + led actions That I have contacted all 25 Course ordered by the Court To represent 26 This plaint of Concerning The four Threshold 27 Issues and Selected all Coursel to Represent 10/5 28

1	Darry Dursman
2	AD6237 C3A 150 MEGELVEM
3	Box 35500
4	Stockton Ca 95217 DEC 11 2015
5	U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
6	SO DIST OF NEW YORK
7	
8	() WITS STATES BANK BY DOTALL
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
10	IN RS
11	General Motors
12	16NITION SWITCH LITICATION
13	Dary Dusnas
14	Par til
15	
16	General Motion Etal
17	Dehnderts
18	toble of Content
19	and Author tres
20	to Support of Metron for Judicial Notice
21	a latin ellective
22	Assistènce Regrest da
23	Rebet
24	IN RE Miserer (1985) . 78 C3d
25	tua 2:2 CR 5/9
26	People V Moses (1996) 43 CAUTH 467
27	1110 50 0070 89
28	
	10/2

Per order of This court Exhibit A 2 and That only one Coursel has Contacted 3 Me That being william P wentraub and That he fraudulantly represented himself Concerning his nusluement in This case od his representation of this plaintill and refused this order to represent this plaintiff Concerning The four threshold 9 issues in contempt of this Court and 10 other Coursel have not responded as well Concerning representation ordered by This 12 Court 13 That These actions are Violating plaintills
14 Constitutional Due process rights 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 25 I Declare under pualty of pegung is true 26 Under The laws of The State of California 24 - The coliss 27 28

1 2 3 4 5 6	Darry Donamore AD6237 CJA-150 Box 32200 Stockton Ce 95213
7	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN RE General Motors Case# 09-50026 REG
12	Daryl Durmon
14	Plonit
17	Beneral Motors Et al Defindents
18 19 20	Motion for Judicial Notice Contempt and in effective assistence
2 1 2 2	Request for Relief
23 24	This Court ordered Coursel to Represent This Plaint. If Concerning The 4 Threshold issues See! Exhibit A
25 26 27	Doc 13177 Page 11 Sec(C)
28	

1 and for plantiff to Select one or 2 More to do So 3 Plaintill promptly scheded all and 4 Notified then of such; plaintill has 5 Recieved No Correspondence from 6 any except william P weintraup, Who 7 first fraudulantly represented hinself 8 to the Claiming he had No molvement in Said Case Exhibit B on oct 10 22 2015 Despite stating he would not be respondence again with Correspondence 13 7 Recieved Correspondence from 14 william P Wentraup on Nov 17
15 2015 who is plaintiffs openion is
16 in Contempt of This Counts order
17 Exh. b. t A To represent this plantiff 18 after having been contacted by My 19 Self and order of The Court Derying 20 This plaintil his Due process rights 21 Concerning the 155ves 22 This Coursel Claums That in his 24 Correspondence That his representation is 25 The representation of the other (3) Co-lead 26 Coursel Exhibit C 27 2063 28

IN fact stating he is in effectively 2 Representing not only this plaintill but 3 all plaintills because he representing 4 Counsel and Not Plaintiff or Plaintiff and Directly States he refuses to represent this plaintiff Concerning the four threshold issues as ordered by 8 this Court

10

23

26

27

This Coursel or all Coursel Should be drectly ordered to again (Concerning" representar of this plaintill and his 15 Issues Concerning the foortheshold 16 Issues and aford This plaintill his 17 rights to appropriate representation of 18 his unique Claims Concerning The 19 Pour Threshold issues and any and all 20 other reliet avoilable neloding finding 21 all Coursel of Contempt of This Courts 22 orders

24 I Declare inder pualty of perjury is 25 True inder the laws of The State of California

12/1/15 010-0

J. F3

Box 32200 Stockton ca 95217 5 6 7 UNITED STATES BLUKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN RE 10 Case 09-50026 (REG) General Motors 11 IGNITION SWITCH Litigation Darry Duranare Plant (15 General Motor etal Defendents 17 enerally of Points 18 19 20 in effective assistance 21 Relich 22 23 Disobedience of a court order Maybe 24 penshed as a contempt only if the order neets sound prerequisites. The order Must be valid and with n The Courts power 28 1062

	Motion 3 pages
2	Menoranden Z pages
3	Andruit z pages
4	
5	Codgenerts
6	Exhib. + A
7	court order 'page
8	Txhb.+ B
9	Correspondence oct 22 2015 5 pages
10	Exabt C
11	Correspondence Nov 17 2015 1 page
12	3(
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	to all and to at proper the
21	to occlare unde peratty of payary These lodgements are true under printly of payary
22	under The laws of The State of California
23	
24	
25	
26	12/1/15
27	
28	2082

to enter <u>n re Miserer (1985) 38 C3d</u> 2 543 213 CR 569 the terms of The order 3 Most be specific and Narrowly Drawn 4 and must be set by The Court its 5 Self People V Moses (1996) 43 CAYTH 462 6 468 50 CRZd 89 Here The Court Gave on order That 9 This plaintiff would be represented by 10 Schected Coursel Exhibit A and That 11 Plantiff Could Scleet one or all 12 Coursel to represent him Concerning The 13 four threshold issues 14 15 This plaintif has Solocted all and 16 only one has responded and has done 17 So with Frandelat mes representation and 18 refusal of the order resulting in in electure 19 assistance and Clear Violation of This 20 plantits Due process rights both in 21 this Court his Civil Complaint and 22 his writ of hobeas on wraigful Conviction 23 in which Said exculpatory evidence 24 Cornected with This case must occur 26 I Declare under the perolty of perjury 27/15 true unde The laws of The State of 28 California 12/1/15 DAD- 20F2

09-50026-reg Doc 13568 Filed 12/17/15 Entered 12/13/15 13:97:30 Main Document 09-50026-reg Doc 13177 Filed 06/01/19 1 tof 21



Judgment, including without limitation, the provisions of paragraph 13 of the Judgment."

- Non-Ignition Switch Plaintiff listed on Exhibit "D" believes that, notwithstanding the Decision and this Judgment, it has a good faith basis to maintain that its lawsuit, or certain claims or causes of action contained therein, against New GM should not be dismissed or stricken, it shall file a pleading with this Court within 17 business days of this Judgment ("No Dismissal-Pleading"). Such No Dismissal Pleading may request, as part of any good faith basis to maintain a lawsuit (or certain claims or causes of action contained therein) against New GM, (i) an opportunity to select one or more designated counsel from among the affected parties to address the Four Threshold Issues with respect to particular defects in the vehicles involved in the accidents or incidents that form the basis for the subject claims, and (ii) the establishment of appropriate procedures (including a briefing schedule and discovery, if appropriate) with respect thereto. If a No Dismissal Pleading is timely filed, New GM shall have 17 business days to respond to such pleading. The Court will schedule a hearing thereon if it believes one is necessary.
- Non-Ignition Switch Plaintiff believes that, notwithstanding the Decision and this Judgment, it has a good faith basis to believe that any of the GUC Trust Assets may be used to satisfy late proofs of claim filed by them that may ultimately be allowed by the Bankruptcy Court, it shall file a pleading with this Court within 17 business days of this Judgment ("GUC Trust Asset Pleading"). The GUC Trust Asset Pleading shall not reargue issues that were already decided by the Decision and Judgment. If a GUC Trust Asset Pleading is timely filed, the GUC Trust,

99-50026-reg Doc 13569 Filed 12/11/15 Entered 12/13/15 14:97:30 Main Document 09-50026-reg Doc 13177 Filed 06/01/19 4 16/10/2006/01/15 15:03:17 Main Document Pg 10 of 21

during the proceedings regarding the Four Threshold Issues and any other parties who had notice of the proceedings regarding the Four Threshold Issues and the opportunity to be heard in them—including, for the avoidance of doubt, the plaintiffs in the Bledsoe, Elliott and Sesay lawsuits listed on Exhibit "C." They shall also apply to any other plaintiffs in these proceedings (including, without limitation, the Non-Ignition Switch Pre-Closing Accident Plaintiffs and Non-Ignition Switch Plaintiffs identified on Exhibit "D" attached hereto), subject to any objection ("Objection Pleading") submitted by any such party within 17 business days of the entry of this Judgment. New GM shall file a response to any such Objection Pleading within 17 business days of service. The Court will schedule a hearing thereon if it believes one is necessary. To the extent an issue shall arise in the future as to whether (i) the Non-Ignition Switch Pre-Closing Accident Plaintiffs and Non-Ignition Switch Plaintiffs were known or unknown creditors of the Debtors, (ii) the doctrine of equitable mootness bars the use of any GUC Trust Assets to satisfy late-filed claims of the Non-Ignition Switch Pre-Closing Accident Plaintiffs and Non-Ignition Switch Plaintiffs, or (iii) the Non-Ignition Switch Pre-Closing Accident Plaintiffs or Non-Ignition Switch Plaintiffs were otherwise bound by the provisions of the Sale Order, the Non-Ignition Switch Pre-Closing Accident Plaintiffs or Non-Ignition Switch Plaintiffs shall be required to first seek resolution of such issues from this Court before proceeding any further against New GM and/or the GUC Trust.

(b) Within two (2) business days of the entry of this Judgment, New GM shall serve a copy of this Judgment on counsel for the Non-Ignition Switch Pre-Closing Accident Plaintiffs or Non-Ignition Switch Plaintiffs identified on Exhibit "D", by e-mail, facsimile, overnight mail or, if none of the foregoing are available, regular mail, with a cover note that states: "The attachment is the Judgment entered by the Bankruptcy Court. Please review the

09-50026-reg Dec 13569 Filed 12/17/15 Entered 12/13/15 14:97:30 Main Decument Pp43206-reg

GOODWIN PROCTER

William P Weintraub 212.813.8839 WWeintraub@goodwinprocter.com Goodwin Procter LLP Counselors at Law The New York Times Building 620 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10018 T: 212.813.8800 F: 212.355.3333

October 22, 2015

Darryl Dunsmore AD6237 C-3A-115 California Health Care Facility P.O. Box 32200 Stockton, CA 95213

Dear Mr. Dunsmore:

I am in receipt of your letter dated October 15, 2015. Contrary to the statement in your letter, I have not received any previous correspondence from you. Your request for discovery is not in a proper form, and I am not a party to any pending litigation concerning General Motors. Requests for discovery should be addressed to parties. I suggest you contact General Motors directly and assert your discovery request in a proper form.

For the sake of good order, please be advised that I will not be responding to future correspondence.

Very truly yours,

William P Weintraub

WPW:ac

William Weintruab, Goodwin, Procter LLP 620 Eighth Ave New York, NY 10018 Darryl Dunsmore
AD6237 C-3A-115
Calif. Health Care Facility
P.O. Box 32200
Stockton, CA 95213

I, Lula Renteria, am sending this letter to you at the direction of my son, Darryl Dunsmore, for whom I have Power of Attorney.

According to the Demand and Notification letter that he received with attachment Exhibit A, Document 13177, page2, Paragraph C, under the No Dismissal Pleading, he is intitled to select one or more disnignated council concerning the 4 threshold issues. He is selecting you and all council and is expecting immediate response by mail. This is part of the court order.

Due to incarceration because of the accident in which his GMC vehicle mal-functioned and being in Administrative Segration at the time of the bankruptcy & sale of GMC he was unable to file a claim and was deprived of Due Process. His address is at the top of the page.

Thank you,

Lula Renteria

9/22/2015

P.S. This is a follow up to my call on 9/21/2015 in which you referred me to Robert Hillard.

Edward Weisfelner& Brown, Rudnick LLP 7 Times Sq. #47 New York, NY 10036 Darryl Dunsmore AD6237 C-3A-115 Calif. Health Care Facility P.O. Box 32200 Stockton, CA 95213

I, Lula Renteria, am sending this letter to you at the direction of my son, Darryl Dunsmore, for whom I have Power of Attorney.

According to the Demand and Notification letter that he received with attachment Exhibit A, Document 13177, page2, Paragraph C, under the No Dismissal Pleading, he is intitled to select one or more disnignated council concerning the 4 threshold issues. He is selecting you and all council and is expecting immediate response by mail. This is part of the court order.

Due to incarceration because of the accident in which his GMC vehicle mal-functioned and being in Administrative Segration at the time of the bankruptcy & sale of GMC he was unable to file a claim and was deprived of Due Process. His address is at the top of the page.

Thank you,

Lula Renteria

9/22/2015

P. S. This is a follow up to my conversation with you on Monday 9/21/2015 in which you referred me to William Weintruab.

Robert Hillard 719 S Shoreline Blvd. #500 Corpus Christi, TX 78401 Darryl Dunsmore AD6237 C-3A-115 Calif. Health Care Facility P.O. Box 32200 Stockton, CA 95213

I, Lula Renteria, am sending this letter to you at the direction of my son, Darryl Dunsmore, for whom I have Power of Attorney.

According to the Demand and Notification letter that he received with attachment Exhibit A, Document 13177, page2, Paragraph C, under the No Dismissal Pleading, he is intitled to select one or more disnignated council concerning the 4 threshold issues. He is selecting you and all council and is expecting immediate response by mail. This is part of the court order.

Due to incarceration because of the accident in which his GMC vehicle mal-functioned and being in Administrative Segration at the time of the bankruptcy & sale of GMC he was unable to file a claim and was deprived of Due Process. His address is at the top of the page.

Thank you.

Lula Renteria

9/22/2015

P. S. This is a follow up to the voice mail I left on Monday, 9/21/15.

Attention: Scott Davidson

King & Spalding

My name is Lula Renteria and I am the widowed mother of Darryl Dunsmore. Because he is in prison and very ill he can't respond immediatley. He is filing a motion for the extension of the filing of the objections. I am writing this for him because he is in prison and medicaly incapacitated. He was in a terribule car accident in front of my home, in which his car malfunctioned. He was blamed for the crash and went to prison. He has already been there over 7 years. This was a terrible miscarriage of justice.

Lula Renteria 9/19/2015

GOODWIN PROCTER

William P Weintraub 212.813.8839 WWeintraub@goodwinprocter.com Goodwin Procter LLP Counselors at Law Exchange Place Boston, MA 02109 T: 617.570.1000 F: 617.523 1231

November 17, 2015

Lula Renteria c/o Darryl Dunsmore AD6237 C-3A-115 California Health Care Facility P.O. Box 32200 Stockton, CA 95213

Dear Ms. Renteria:

I am receipt of your letter dated September 22, 2015 written on behalf of Darryl Dunsmore.

The procedure does not work in the manner suggested in your letter. I decline to represent Mr. Dunsmore. My engagement is limited to the representation of the three (3) Co-Lead lawyers in the Multi-District Litigation with respect to specific bankruptcy matters.

As I told Mr. Dunsmore in my letter responding to him, and as I will now tell you, I will not be responding to further correspondence from you.

Very truly yours,

William P Weintraub

WPW:ac

09-50026-reg Dec 13578 Filed 12/17/15 Entered 12/13/15 14:97:30 Main Decument Ppg-grafox919

PROOF OF SERVICE BY UNITED STATES MAID E C E I V E (Code of Civil Procedure Section 1015)
I, declare, depose and say, the following statement of perjury according to the laws of the State of California based on matters known to me personally to be true:
1) I am over the age of eighteen years, a resident and a state prisoner, of the State of California with a present mailing address of: Box 32200 Stockton Ce 45W3
2) On this day of Dec 20 15, I caused a true and correct copy of the following specifically described, document(s); Motion for Contrap Julicial Worker
at the prison to be placed in a sealed envelope(s), with first postage, having been placed thereon, duly addressed to the interested person or persona described hereinafter, and then deposited such envelopes(s) in the regular United States mail, or mail service made available where I am detained, to the addressee(s): King & Spelding 185 Aue of the Annoces New York New York 10036-4003 Woold
3) I declare that there has been regular U.S. mail pick-up by correctional officers at the prison, and/or delivery service, at the places(s) where I posted the envelopes described above, regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the place so addressed.

David Rechards

Executed this _____ day of _____ ec_____, 20 ______, under penalty of perjury according to the laws of the State of California, at Los Angeles, County, City of Lancaster.